

MAJOR GRANT FINAL EVALUATION REPORT

Project directors should complete the following seven sections and return this form to Humanities Texas within 90 days of the end of the grant period. In addition, please send two copies of all project publicity (e.g., newspaper articles, newsletters, press releases) and two copies of other pertinent materials stemming from the project (e.g., posters, photographs, brochures, audio programs, videotapes, films).

Please be as complete and as accurate as possible, as this information is crucial to Humanities Texas and our national partner, the National Endowment for the Humanities. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact our office.

I. GRANT INFORMATION

Grant No.		Grant Period:					
Project Title:							
Sponsoring (Organization:						
II. AUDIEN Estimate the a Gender:		rith reference to	o gender %	r, age, and e Age:	ethnic representati K–12	on.	%
	Female		<u>%</u>	8	College age		%
					25–35		%
Ethnic Representation:					36–55		_ %
Caucasian %		%			56+		%
African American %		%					
Hispanic %		 %					
Asian %		 %					
Native Ame	rican	%					
Other		%					

III. PROGRAM INFORMATION

List all events associated with your program on the following form (e.g., each lecture given, each film screening, each oral history interview). List one event per line using the following key.

Program Date Date of the event. For exhibits, indicate the opening and closing dates.

Format Specific format of the event or project (e.g., panel discussion, exhibit, website, TV

broadcast).

Program Site Venue where the event took place (e.g., Collins Branch Library, Bell County Museum).

City where the event took place.

Congressional District U.S. Congressional district number where the event took place. You can search for the pertinent

district number by zip code at www.house.gov.

Attendance List total attendance or audience size for each event (e.g., total room count for a lecture;

total hits for a website; total viewers for a film/video broadcast; total distribution for a CD-

ROM).

Program Date(s)	Format	Program Site	City	U.S. Dist. No.	Attendance

Page 2 of 6 Revised 1/12/22

IV. SPEAKERS & ADVISORS

A model in all respects

High quality with only a few shortcomings

A. Speakers

Rating Criteria Excellent:

Good:

A speaker is an individual or group who gives a presentation to a public audience (e.g., lecturer, discussion leaders, panel members). List all speakers and their topics. Comment on their enthusiasm, knowledge of the topic, effect upon the audience, and willingness to engage the audience in discussion. Assign ratings and add pages as needed.

Fair: Weak:			ed important flaws contained a bright spot			
Poor:			es Texas' support			
Name	Title		Instituti	Institutional Affiliation		
Topic:						
Date(s):			No. of presentations:		Total Atte	ndance:
Rating:	Excellent	Good	- Fair	Weak	Poor	
Name	Title		Instituti	onal Affilia	ıtion	Academic Field & Degree
Topic:						
Date(s):			No. of presentations:		Total Atte	endance:
Rating:	Excellent	Good	- Fair	Weak	Poor	
Comments	on presentation con	tent and	d speaker's overall cont	ribution to	program:	
Name	Title		Instituti	onal Affilia	ntion	Academic Field & Degree
Topic:						
Date(s):			No. of presentations:		Total Atte	endance:
Rating:	Excellent	Good	- Fair	Weak	Poor	
Comments	on presentation con	tent and	d sneaker's overall cont	ribution to	nrogram·	

Page 3 of 6 Revised 1/12/22

Name	Title		Institutional Affiliation			Academic Field & Degree	
Topic:							
Date(s):		N	o. of presentations:		Total Atte	ndance:	
Rating:	Excellent	Good	Fair	Weak	Poor		
Comments	on presentation c	ontent and sp	oeaker's overall cont	ribution to	program:		
Name	Title	2	Institut	ional Affilia	tion	Academic Field & Degree	
Topic:							
Date(s):		N	o. of presentations:		Total Atte	ndance:	
Rating:	Excellent	Good	Fair	Weak	— Poor		
Comments			oeaker's overall cont	ribution to	program:		
		ontent and sp	oeaker's overall cont	ribution to		Academic Field & Degree	
Name	on presentation c	ontent and sp	oeaker's overall cont			Academic Field & Degree	
Name Topic: Date(s):	on presentation c	ontent and sp	oeaker's overall cont				
Name Topic:	on presentation c	ontent and sp	peaker's overall cont		tion		
Name Topic: Date(s): Rating:	Title Excellent	ontent and sp	Institut	ional Affilia Weak	Total Atte		
Name Topic: Date(s): Rating:	Title Excellent	e N Good ontent and sp	Institut	ional Affilia Weak	Total Atte Poor program:	ndance:	
Name Topic: Date(s): Rating: Comments	Excellent on presentation c	e N Good ontent and sp	Institut	Weak	Total Atte Poor program:	ndance:	
Name Topic: Date(s): Rating: Comments	Excellent on presentation c	Ontent and sp Good Ontent and sp	Institut	Weak	Total Atte Poor program:	Academic Field & Degree	

Page 4 of 6 Revised 1/12/22

B. ADVISORS

Advisors are scholars and members of the public who assist in planning and implementing the project. List all advisors associated with the program.

Name	Title	Institutional Affiliation	Academic Field & Degree	Role

C. Other

List other scholars and participants who contributed to the humanities-based components of the project (e.g., interviewer, subject matter expert, script and story consultant). Exclude administrative personnel.

Name	Title	Institutional Affiliation	Academic Field & Degree	Role

V. Summary

Please summarize your evaluation by circling a rating for each item (5= Excellent, 1= Poor)

Humanities Content	5	4	3	2	1
Speakers/Advisors	5	4	3	2	1
Audience Response	5	4	3	2	1
Funding Level	5	4	3	2	1

Page 5 of 6 Revised 1/12/22

VI. EVALUATION NARRATIVE

On a separate sheet, respond to the following questions about your project. Please feel free to address any other points or concerns that seem relevant.

- 1) Were you able to remain faithful to the work plan outlined in the narrative section of the grant application? If any aspects of the plans were difficult to fulfill, explain.
- 2) How helpful was your Advisory Committee in planning the project and in implementing those plans? How helpful was your co-sponsor (if applicable)?
- 3) A necessary ingredient of a successful public humanities project is interaction among humanities scholars and non-academic members of the community. How well did these groups cooperate in this project?
- 4) Did the targeted audience attend the program? How enthusiastic was the audience? Did the audience size and groups represented correspond with your expectations?
- 5) Describe the dialogue between the presenter(s) and audience. Was it meaningful? How did it encourage and stimulate audience members to think critically about the specific subject matter of the program?
- 6) What changes, if any, in the program's format would have increased the audience's involvement and/or understanding?
- 7) Describe the strengths and weaknesses of the program. How could the program have been strengthened? What would you do differently in the future?
- 8) How helpful was the Humanities Texas staff during the grant process?
- 9) Was your grant award sufficient to fulfill your objectives? How did you find the financial reporting procedures?
- 10) How did you publicize and promote the project? What was the most effective form of promotion?
- 11) Was Humanities Texas properly credited in print materials, the program introduction, and event publicity?
- 12) What did you learn from this project? What surprises did you experience? Would you apply to Humanities Texas grants in the future?

VII. AWARDS

Please list any distinctions and/or honors recognizing the accomplishment of this project.

Signature:		Date:	
_	Project Director		

Page 6 of 6 Revised 1/12/22